

25 years in the life of SN 1987A

Revealing the highest resolution X-ray structure with **Chandra and Jolideco**

> Axel Donath, A.Siemiginowska, V.Kashap, D. Burke and D. van Dyk

"25 Years of Science with Chandra" Symposium, Boston, Dec 4th, 2025

$$\mathscr{L}(\mathbf{d} | \lambda) = \prod_{i}^{N} \frac{\lambda_{i}^{d_{i}} e^{-d_{i}}}{d_{i}!} \lambda = \mathbf{x} \circledast \mathbf{PSF}$$
$$\lambda_{i} = \sum_{k} \left(e_{i} \cdot (x_{i} + b_{i}) \right) p_{i-k}$$









- Postdoctoral Researcher in the CHASC astrostatistics group: <u>https://hea-</u> <u>www.harvard.edu/astrostat/</u> at the Center for Astrophysics (CfA)
- I work on new analysis methods for low-counts X-ray and gamma-ray data and apply them to selected science cases
- Before I joined CfA,I mostly worked in TeV gamma-rays and the H.E.S.S. Galactic Plane Survey
- Interest open source software development, follow me on GitHub: https://github.com/adonath
- Find more about my work on: <u>axeldonath.com</u>
- **Disclaimer:** I am not an expert on the object SN 1987A, neither on the physics behind! My motivation is from the "data science" and statistics perspective! But I am more than happy to talk to all the experts in the audience!

About me









SN 1987A overview

- Located in the LMC, distance of 51.4kp, exploded Feb 23rd, 1987
- The most **important science target to study supernova "aftermath**" and evolution of early supernova remnants
 - Evidence for hard non-thermal X-ray emission from the central region by Gerco et al. (2021) (early PWN)
 - JWST also found evidence for a neutron star, by detecting ionized argon from the central region. Neutron star illumination gas.
- Known spatial features:
 - Inner ejecta "keyhole": dense clumpy gas and dust ejected by the SN
 - Equatorial ring (ER): ejected from the progenitor star earlier
 - **Outer ring:** ejected from the progenitor star earlier
- Blast wave passed through and is now leaving the ER. Shock wave from the SN explosion passed through the surrounding material and "lights it up"



https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stae1032

Chandra observations overview

- SN1987A has been **continuously monitored by Chandra**, with at least 1 observation per year, often more
- Different configurations, but **mostly HETG and no grating**
- Selection for this analysis:
 - All observations with offset < 1.0 arcsec, to reduce PSF systematics / weirdness
 - ACIS and combined HETG and non-grating observations
 - Full energy range from 0.5 7 keV
- 98 observations with **3000 ks total exposure time**
- On average ~100 ks / year on SN 1987A
- Two large observation campaigns in 2007 and 2018



Data reduction and PSF simulation

- Run ciao 4.16 for each of the 98 observations, to produce counts and exposure images
- Spectral fit using a two component shock model, following Frank et al. (2016)
- Simulations of the points spread function (PSF) using Marx and the best fit spectral model. For HETG observations only the 0th order was considered
- Uniform background extracted from an annulus with inner radius of 5 arcsec and outer radius of 10 arcsec
- Data reduction chain bundled into scalable and reproducible "Snakemake" workflow, available at: https://github.com/adonath/snakemake-workflow-chandra/

Chandra PSF





https://cxc.cfa.harvard.edu/ciao/PSFs/









- Image deconvolution is not "black magic", but by using the information on the shape of the PSF one can approximately solve an ill-posed inverse problem!
- Image deconvolution on X-ray images has been used many times in literature, mostly "Richardson-Lucy", however the method has problems:
 - unclear when to stop iteration, no uncertainties
 - does not take exposure and background into account
- LIRA method developed in the CHASC group ~20 years ago: https://github.com/astrostat/pylira
- "Joint Deconvolution of Astronomical Images in the Presence of Poisson Noise" (Jolideco): new method inspired by image reconstruction from the Event Horizon Telescope: <u>https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-3881/ad6b98</u>

"Jolideco" method motivation





Multiple low counts astronomical images from different observations or instruments



Single Reconstruction



A "joint" reconstructed flux image using statistical methods / ML



 $\mathscr{L}(\mathbf{x} | \mathbf{D}) = \sum_{j=1}^{J} \mathscr{C}(\mathbf{D}_{j} | \mathbf{x}) - \mathscr{P}(\mathbf{x})$ Log-Likelihood Log-Prior Log-Posterior

Represents our prior knowledge...



An unlikely image



Amore likely image



Alikely image

Jolideco method details

- For the log-likelihood we use a "hand crafted" forward model, that includes all our knowledge on PSF, exposure, Poisson statistics etc.
- Sum goes over multiple observations, which can be from different epochs, however for each epoch the flux assumed to be constant
- To break the "ill-posedness" of the problem we introduce a learned image prior
- Given that the PSF is "local" we are only interested in the correlation between neighboring pixels, a patch based image prior is sufficient, parametrized by a Gaussian Mixture Model
- Than Maximum A Posteriori estimation is used to create the best fit model for the flux x





Jolideco for time reconstruction

- arbitrary times on a regular grid. Similar to a Gaussian is non-linear!
- observations might dominate individual time bins



Observations weights

Declination

Animation of 25 Years of SN1987A

Weighted Counts



Reconstructed Flux



Declination



Remarks on the animation

- Astrometry is very challenging, however Jolideco does relative astrometry "on the fly": it allows for an observation specific shift, which is optimized along with the image reconstruction. However absolute astrometry might be off. This can lead to the "drift" in absolute **position** seen in the animation...
- Bright features seem rather positionally stable over time, they might be real. Seen previously as "four lobes" (Racusin et al. (<u>2009</u>)). But a dedicated analysis is needed!
- Reversal in the East-West asymmetry clearly visible (Frank et al. (2016))
- For the animation images have been re-normalized to equal brightness. The image on the right shows absolute brightness. Brightening and dimming clearly visible!
- Please also note the effects of the image scaling: linear sqrt log
- Reconstruction in later time bins is difficult, because of lower exposure and dimmer source



Linear





Remarks on the animation

- Astrometry is very challenging, however Jolideco does relative astrometry "on the fly": it allows for an observation specific shift, which is optimized along with the image reconstruction. However absolute astrometry might be off. This can lead to the "drift" in absolute **position** seen in the animation...
- Bright features seem rather positionally stable over time, they might be real. Seen previously as "four lobes" (Racusin et al. (<u>2009</u>)). But a dedicated analysis is needed!
- Reversal in the East-West asymmetry clearly visible (Frank et al. (2016))
- For the animation images have been re-normalized to equal brightness. The image on the right shows absolute brightness. Brightening and dimming clearly visible!
- Please also note the effects of the image scaling: linear sqrt log
- Reconstruction in later time bins is difficult, because of lower exposure and dimmer source



Sqrt



Remarks on the animation

- Astrometry is very challenging, however Jolideco does relative astrometry "on the fly": it allows for an observation specific shift, which is optimized along with the image reconstruction. However absolute astrometry might be off. This can lead to the "drift" in absolute **position** seen in the animation...
- Bright features seem rather positionally stable over time, they might be real. Seen previously as "four lobes" (Racusin et al. (<u>2009</u>)). But a dedicated analysis is needed!
- Reversal in the East-West asymmetry clearly visible (Frank et al. (2016))
- For the animation images have been re-normalized to equal brightness. The image on the right shows absolute brightness. Brightening and dimming clearly visible!
- Please also note the effects of the image scaling: linear sqrt log
- Reconstruction in later time bins is difficult, because of lower exposure and dimmer source

2000

Log















• Weak artifacts popped up in time bins around idx=36, which combines a series of ~30 observations from 2004 - 2008 with high exposure (~30% of the total exposure). Note there is a ~15 year time gap between the Chandra and the JWST image.

- Are those real? I don't know 100%...however, the chance of reconstructing features that align with existing features in the JWST image by chance is really small. Again a **dedicated analysis is needed**, especially investigating PSF systematics!
- Low emission features contain ~5% of the total flux in the image

Contours on JWST image





Extension over time

- One Jolideco reconstruction per observation, then fit a "smooth" elliptical annulus to the deconvolved images. Radius given as the mean between the inner and outer radius of the annulus
- Frank et al. (2016) fitted on Richardson-Lucy deconvolved images and included four asymmetric Gaussian "lobes"
- Absolute deviation not yet understood, but the time evolution agrees well with the previous analysis by Frank et al. (2016). But they also found energy dependence of the radius!
- Plausible evolution after ~10.000 days



Conclusion & outlook

- There are still surprises hidden in Chandra archival data!
- into a single reconstruction
- analysis of "hot spots", study differences in spectral bands, ...
- Experts on SN1987A please come and talk to me!
- Checkout interesting statistical methods developed by CHASC on our GitHub: https://github.com/astrostat and https://github.com/jolideco

• Result are very preliminary, but the Jolideco reconstruction finds good agreement with previous analyses on general morphological features, such as extension and reversal of the East-West symmetry

• We find hints for new X-ray features by combining high exposure observations between 2004 - 2008

• Lots of future work: extraction of the light curve, incorporating PSF systematics into Jolideco, dedicated



