Pulsar Wind Nebulae and Relativistic Shocks

Elena Amato

INAF-Osservatorio Astrofisico di Arcetri

Collaborators: Jonathan Arons, Niccolo' Bucciantini, Luca Del Zanna, Delia Volpi

Pulsar Wind Nebulae



Plerions: ✓ Supernova Remnants with a center filled morphology ✓ Flat radio spectrum ($\alpha_{\rm P}$ <0.5) ✓ Very broad non-thermal emission spectrum (from radio to X-ray and even γ -rays) (~10 objects at TeV energies)





ROSAT contours

THE Pulsar Wind Nebula





Primary emission mechanism is synchrotron radiation by relativistic particles in an *intense* (>few x 100 B_{ISM}) ordered (high degree of radio polarization) magnetic field

Source of both magnetic field and particles: Neutron Star suggested before Pulsar discovery (Pacini 67)

Basic picture



MHD models of PWNe



1-D steady-state hydro (Rees & Gunn 74)
1-D steady-state MHD (Kennel & Coroniti 84)
1-D self-similar MHD (Emmering & Chevalier 87)
2-D static MHD (Begelman & Li 92)

General assumptions

Cold isotropic MHD wind terminates in a strong perpendicular relativistic shock
Flow in the nebula is subsonic
Particle acceleration at the TS
Synchrotron losses thereafter

Main free parameters

Wind magnetization $\sigma = B^2/(4\pi nmc^2\Gamma^2)$ and Lorentz factor Γ , particle spectral index

Predictions

 Integrated emission spectrum from optical to X-rays and even γ-rays (e.g. de Jager & Harding 92; Atoyan & Aharonian 96)
 Size shrinkage with increasing observation frequency
 Elongation

Basic Parameters and Questions left

open

 $R_{TS} \sim R_N (V_N/c)^{1/2} \sim 10^9 - 10^{10} R_{LC}$ from pressure balance (e.g. Rees & Gunn 74)



In Crab R_{TS} ~0.1 pc: ~boundary of underluminous (*cold wind*) region ~"wisps" location (variability over months)



No explanation for radio electrons: maybe primordial (Atoyan 99)

Then came Chandra!



The puzzling jet in Crab

Jet in Crab appeared to originate from closer to the PSR than $R_{\rm TS}$



 $\begin{array}{ll} \mbox{Magnetic collimation in relativistic flow} \\ & \mbox{not an option} \\ (e.g. Lyubarsky & Eichler 01) \\ & \Gamma \gg 1 \Rightarrow \ \rho \, \vec{E} + \vec{j} \times \vec{B} \approx 0 \end{array}$

Collimation must occur inside the nebula (Bogovalov & Khangoulian 02; Lyubarsky 02)

Anisotropic energy flux of the wind $F \propto \sin^2(\theta)$ leads to oblate TS, closer to the PSR at the poles than at the equator

This is exactly what wind models predict!

The anisotropic wind energy flow



Analytic split monopole solutions (Michel 73; Bogovalov 99) confirmed by numerical studies in the Force Free (Contopoulos et al 99, Gruzinov 04, Spitkovsky 06) and RMHD regime (Bogovalov 01, Komissarov 06, Bucciantini et al 06)

Streamlines become asymptotically radial beyond R_{LC} Most of the energy flux is at low latitudes: $F \propto \sin^2(\theta)$ Magnetic field components: $B_r \propto 1/r^2$ $B_{\phi} \propto \sin(\theta)/r$ Within ideal MHD σ stays large Current sheet in equatorial plane: oscillating around the equator in oblique case angular extent depends on obliquity

The wind magnetization



Lowering σ through dissipation in the striped wind (Coroniti 90)

Recent studies:

reconnection not fast enough if minimum rate assumed (Lyubarsky & Kirk 01)

•dN/dt~10⁴⁰ s⁻¹ required for Crab (Kirk & Skjaeraasen 03)

•This contrasts with PSR theory (e.g. Hibschman & Arons 01: κ ~10³-10⁴ \Rightarrow dN/dt~10³⁸ for Crab) but just right for radio emitting particles

In 2-D MHD simulations $B \propto sin(\theta) G(\theta)$ with $G(\theta)$ accounting for decreasing magnetization toward equator

Termination Shock structure





Dependence on σ of the flow velocity



0.0 0.2 0.4 0.6 0.8 1.0

Dependence on field structure





The Crab Nebula integrated emission spectrum

Quantitative fit of the spectral properties of the Crab Nebula requires injection spectrum with α =2.7!!!! But....



•Optical spectral index maps (Veron-Cetty & Woltjer 92) suggest flatter injection spectrum: $\alpha \sim 2.2$ (but see also Kargaltsev & Pavlov 09)

 Suspicion that particles are loosing too little: average B too low?

 In order to recover total flux number of particles artificially large

•Synchrotron only offers combined information on n_e and B: $L_{syn} \propto n_e B^2$

But computation of ICS offers additional constraints: $L_{ICS} \propto n_e U_{ph}$

y-ray emission from Crab



y-ray spectrum from Crab



Constraints on magnetic structure of the wind And particle spectral index Constraining Γ is more complicated....

Properties of the flow and particle acceleration

Particle acceleration occurs at the highly relativistic termination shock

This is a collisionless shock: transition between non-radiative (upstream) and radiative (downstream) takes place on scales too small for collisions to play a role

Self-generated electromagnetic turbulence mediates the shock transition: it must provide both the dissipation and particle acceleration mechanisms

The detailed physics and the outcome of the process strongly depend on composition (e⁻-e⁺-p?) magnetization (σ=B²/4πnΓmc²) and geometry (Γ ×Θ(B·n)) Of the flow

Particle Acceleration mechanisms

Composition: mostly pairs Magnetization: 0>0.001 for most of the flow Geometry: transverse

Requirements:

 Outcome: power-law with α~2.2 for optical/X-rays α~1.5 for radio
 Maximum energy: for Crab ~few x 10¹⁵ eV (close to the available potential drop at the PSR)
 ✓ Efficiency: for Crab ~10-20% of total L_{sd}

Proposed mechanisms:

- Fermi mechanism if/where magnetization is low enough
- Shock drift acceleration
- Acceleration associated with magnetic reconnection taking place at the shock (Lyubarsky & Liverts 08)
- Resonant cyclotron absorption in ion doped plasma
 - (Hoshino et al 92, Amato & Arons 06)

Pros & Cons

DSA and SDA

oSDA not effective at superluminal shocks such as the pulsar wind TS unless unrealistically high turbulence level (Sironi & Spitkovsky 09)

✓In Weibel mediated e+-e⁻ (unmagnetized) shocks Fermi acceleration operates effectively (Spitkovsky 08)

✓ Power law index adequate for the optical/X-ray spectrum of Crab (Kirk et al 00) but e.g. Vela shows flatter spectrum (Kargaltsev & Pavlov 09)

oSmall fraction of the flow satisfies the low magnetization (σ <0.001) condition (see MHD simulations)

Magnetic reconnection

Spectrum: -3 or -1? (e.g. Zenitani & Hoshino 07)
Efficiency? Associated with X-points involving small part of the flow...
Investigations in this context are in progress (e.g. Lyubarsky & Liverts 08)

Resonant absorption of ion cyclotron waves Established to effectively accelerate both e⁺ and e⁻ if the pulsar wind is sufficiently cold and ions carry most of its energy (Hoshino & Arons 91, Hoshino et al. 92, Amato & Arons 06)





Subtleties of the RCA process



In order for the process to work the pulsar wind must be really very cold (δu/u<me/mi)!!!!



(λ) 100

¹⁰⁰ (غ)

10

1000

10

T_=37

10

10

positrons

γ

ions

γ

 $T^{-}=18$

1 0 0

480

620

′r.<660

760<x/r.<910

100







1000

Acceleration via RCA and related issues

✓Nicely fits with correlation (Kargaltsev & Pavlov 08; Li et al 08) between X-ray emission of PSRs and PWNe : everything depends on U_i/U_{tot} and ultimately on electrodynamics of underlying compact object

If Γ ~ few x 10⁶

Maximum energy ~ what required by observations

✓Required (dN_i/dt)~10³⁴ s⁻¹~(dN_i/dt)_{GJ} for Crab: return current for the pulsar circuit

 ✓ Natural explanation for Crab wisps (Gallant & Arons 94) and their variability (Spitkovsky & Arons 04) (although maybe also different explanations within ideal MHD) (e.g. Begelman 99; Komissarov et al 09)

Puzzle with Γ

Radio electrons dominant by number require $(dN/dt)\sim10^{40} s^{-1}$ and $\Gamma\sim10^{4}$ Preliminary studies based on 1-zone models (Bucciantini et al. in prep.) contrast with idea that they are primordial!

1-zone models for the PWN evolution

 $\dot{N}(E,t) = C_o(t)(E/\epsilon_c)^{-\gamma_1} for \ \epsilon_c < E < \epsilon_v$ $\dot{N}(E,t) = C_o(t)(E/\epsilon_c)^{-\gamma_2} for \ \epsilon_m < E < \epsilon_c$

$$\eta_e L(t) = \int_{\epsilon_m}^{\epsilon_v} \dot{N}(E, t) E dE$$
$$\dot{N}(t) = \int_{\epsilon_m}^{\epsilon_v} \dot{N}(E, t) dE$$

 $N(E,t) = \int_{E}^{\infty} \dot{N}(E_{o}t_{o}) \frac{\partial t_{o}}{\partial E} (E, E_{o}, t) dE_{o} \qquad \varepsilon_{v} \propto L(t)^{1/2} \varepsilon_{c} / \varepsilon_{v} = \cos t \gamma_{w} = L(t) / (dN/dt)$



Summary and Conclusions

•Nebular dynamics and emission suggest σ not so much smaller than 1 after all

In 2D the synchrotron spectrum seems more complicated than in the 1D picture (multiple changes of slope)

•Even possible to make the entire Crab spectrum with just one "population" of particles?... Implications for PSR theories....

•Or different acceleration mechanisms operate at different latitudes?

Where to look for answers

RMHD simulations:

•Investigation of the parameters space

•More refined model for the evolution of n(E)

•Introduction of latitude dependence along the shock surface of n(E) (both σ and Γ are varying with θ)

•What happens if the field is not strictly toroidal

High Energy Observations

•Fermi: Emission spectrum around the synchrotron cut-off and variability

•TeV $\gamma\text{-rays}$ and neutrinos

Thank you!