

Evidence for the galaxy missing baryon in a hot X-ray-Halo

(ApJL, in press: arXiv:2302.04247)

F. Nicastro (OAR-INAF)

Y. Krongold, T. Fang, F. Fraternali

S. Mathur, S. Bianchi, A. Bongiorno, A. De Rosa, E. Piconcelli, L. Zappacosta, M. Bischetti, C. Feruglio, A. Gupta

07/08/23

The Galaxy Missing Baryon Problem



 $\Omega_{\rm b}^{\rm Planck18} = 0.0493 \sim 5\%$ $f_{\rm b} = \Omega_{\rm b} / \Omega_{\rm m} = 0.157$

L* galaxies with M_h =10¹² M_{\odot} should have M_b ~1.6×10¹¹ M_{\odot} and have M*~3×10¹⁰ M_{\odot}

i.e. M_b (missing) ~ 4.3×M*

ectroscopy: a Chandra Workshop (F. Nicastro)



The Cool-CGM: 1

(Berg+19,22, Lenher+13,18,19, Werk+13,14, Wotta+19, Keeney+17, Fox+13, Stocke+13...)



07/08/23

The Cool-CGM: 2



07/08/23

Relevant Questions

- 1) Where are the Galaxy's baryons?
- 2) Are they in a hot-CGM?
- 3) If so, does it co-exist with the cool-CGM?
- 4) In what physical conditions?
- 5) And is its mass sufficient to close the galaxy baryon census?

All the X-Ray Colors of the Milky Way ISM/CGM Spectrum(Real Data)

XMM-Newton RGS Spectrum of Mkn 421 (z=0.03)



CNMM and LIMM are (mostly) confined in the thin and thick disks.

Where is the HIMM?

07/08/23

High Resolution X-ray Spectroscopy: a Chandra Workshop (F. Nicastro)

7

The Hot-CGM: Sample Selection

- 30 background quasars with LLSs ($16.2 \le \log N_{HI} \le 19$) from Lehner+13
- 11/30 with multiple archival XMM-RGS, and 2/11 also Chandra-LETG, public observations
- 4/11 have SNRE≥4 (allows Poisson) both in RGS and LETG
- 3/4 show hints for OVII Ka absorption and have galaxy association

Galaxy-Halo properties

Table 2. Properties of the LSS and the X-ray	Halo	
--	------	--

								-
QSO (LLS $\#$)	z_{LLS}	M_*	\mathbf{M}_{h}	\mathbf{R}_{vir}	ρ	[X/H]	$\log N_{OVI}$	bovi
9		(in $\log M_{\odot}$)	(in $\log M_{\odot}$)	(in kpc)	(in kpc)		(in cm^{-2})	$(in km s^{-1})$
PG 1407+265 (#1)	0.6828	^a 10.9	12.4	^a 220	^a 91	^b -1.66	$^c13.99\pm0.06$	$^{c}28\pm10$
PKS 0405-123 (#2)	0.1672	$^{d}10.3$	^d 11.9	$^{d}183$	^d 117	^b -0.29	$^c14.59\pm0.05$	$^c78\pm10$
PG 1116+215 (#3)	0.1385	^e 10.3	11.9	^f 192	^g 127	^b -0.56	$^c13.85\pm0.05$	$^{c}47\pm10$
X-ray Halo								
Weighted Averages	0.276	10.53	12.1	195	115	-0.514	14.29 ± 0.05	68 ± 10
$(D_{1}) = 1 + (1 + 1) + $								

^aBurchett et al. (2019). ^bWotta et al. (2019). ^cFox et al. (2013). ^dBerg et al. (2023). ^eAssumed to be the same as PKS 0405-123, given the same halo mass. ^fKeeney et al. (2017). ^gLehner et al. (2013).

Hints of OVII Kα in Single-Source Spectra





Table 1. Best-fitting parameters of the X-ray-halo lines in the single spectra of the three targets

X-Ray Spectrum	$\lambda_{LLS-frame}^{OviiKlpha}$	$EW_{LLS-frame}^{Ovii\ K\alpha}$	Δv	Significance			
	(Å)	(mÅ)	$(\mathrm{km} \mathrm{s}^{-1})$				
	Fits to Individuals Spectra						
1: PG 1407+265 RGS	21.59 ± 0.03	59 ± 35	$-(140 \pm 420)$	1.7σ			
2: PKS 0405-123 RGS	21.54 ± 0.03	15.7 ± 7.1	$-(830 \pm 420)$	2.2σ			
3: PKS 0405-123 LETG	21.57 ± 0.05	69 ± 25	$-(420 \pm 690)$	2.8σ			
4: PG 1116+215 RGS	21.54 ± 0.03	20.8 ± 8.0	$-(830 \pm 420)$	2.6σ			
5: PG 1116+215 LETG	21.48 ± 0.05	29.0 ± 14.5	$-(1670 \pm 690)$	2.0σ			
Joint-fits to RGS+LETG spectra with EWs linked to the same value							
PKS 0405-123 RGS+LETG	21.56 ± 0.04	20.5 ± 7.3	$-(560 \pm 560)$	2.8σ			
PG 1116+215 RGS+LETG	21.51 ± 0.04	18.1 ± 6.5	$-(1250 \pm 560)$	2.8σ			
Weighted averages and coadded significance							
X-Ray halo	21.55 ± 0.04	28.5 ± 6.6	$-(690 \pm 560)$	4.3			

Simultaneous Fitting



LLS-Frame Wavelength (in Å) Table 2. Best-fitting parameters of the X-ray halo absoprtion lines from the simultaneous fits

Method	$<\lambda_{LLS-frame}^{{\rm O}viiKlpha}>$	$ $ < $\lambda_{LLS-frame}^{O vii \ K\beta}$ >	$< EW_{LLS-frame}^{O vii \ K\alpha} >$	$< EW_{LLS-frame}^{Ovii\ K\beta} >$	Δv	Significance of
	(Å)	(Å)	(mÅ)	(mÅ)	${\rm km}~{\rm s}^{-1}$	the X-ray halo
А	21.54 ± 0.04	^a 18.58	39.1 ± 7.4	9.4 ± 5.2	$-(830\pm 560)$	5.6σ
В	21.55 ± 0.04	^a 18.59	28.5 ± 6.7	16.4 ± 10.3	$-(690 \pm 560)$	4.6σ
С	21.55 ± 0.04	^a 18.59	26.4 ± 7.1	17.3 ± 8.7	$-(690 \pm 560$	4.2σ

^{*a*} Linked to the K α position through the ratio of the rest-frame line positions.

Stacked Spectrum





Table 5. Best-fitting X-ray halo absorption line parameters

Line Parameter	Ο VII Κα	Ο VII Κβ	Ο νιιι Κα		
	X-Ray-LLS S	pectrum			
Centroid (in Å)	$21.604\substack{+0.007\\-0.006}$	$18.64^{+0.08}_{-0.02}$	a18.97		
EW (in mÅ)	21.6 ± 3.4	8.6 ± 4.0	≤ 7.9		
Significance	6.4σ	2.2σ	90%		
Combined Si	gnificance	6.8σ			
	FUV-LLS Sp	ectrum			
Centroid (in Å)	$21.54^{+0.02}_{-0.01}$	$18.647^{+0.009}_{-0.010}$	^a 18.97		
EW (in mÅ)	12.9 ± 3.9	12.9 ± 3.8	≤ 11.4		
Significance	3.3σ	3.4σ	90%		
Combined Significance		4.7σ			
4 E.	corror in the fit	1			

07/08/23

High Resolution X-ray Spectrosc

Frozen in the fit.



07/08/23

High Resolution X-ray Spectroscopy: a Chandra Workshop (F. Nicastro)

12

Physical Conditions of the X-ray Halo

 $\log T \approx 5.8-6.3 \text{ K}$

This is consistent with the virial temperature of a $M_h = 10^{11.95} M_{\odot}$ halo, $\log T_{vir} \approx 6.0$

$$n_b = \frac{N_b}{L} = \frac{N_b}{2f_l \sqrt{R_{vir}^2 - \rho^2}} \approx (10^{19.9} - 10^{20.6})/9.2 \times 10^{23} \approx (0.8 - 4.3) \times 10^{-4} \text{ cm}^{-3}$$

→ $P_{Hot} = n_b T \approx 50-900 \text{ K cm}^{-3}$

For the cool-CGM and if photoionization is at work:

 $P_{Cool} = n_b T \approx 5 \text{ K cm}^{-3}$

➔ Pressure equilibrium ⇔ Cool clouds > 10x denser ⇔ r_{cloud}~ 1-2 kpc, as Galactic HVCs if at 100 kpc

 Equilibrium-Photoionization probably not the main mechanism for the cool clouds

07/08/23

Baryon Mass of the X-ray-halo up to 1 Rvir



07/08/23



07/08/23