New Results on Massive Winds and X-ray States in Black Hole X-ray Binaries

Joey Neilsen Collaborators: Jeroen Homan, Ron Remillard, Julia Lee, Gabriele Ponti, Rob Fender, Mark Reid, Farid Rahoui 11 July 2012, Boston



### Outline

Crash course in accretion disk winds

A brief history of winds

The physics of winds

What role do they play in BH outbursts?

Accretion disk winds in GRO J1655-40, 4U 1630-47, and GRS 1915+105

Winds are an important, evolving part of BH accretion flows

#### Accretion Disk Winds



What are they?

- Ionized outflow from the accretion disk, driven by radiation, thermal pressure, or magnetic processes
- How do we see them?
  - Blueshifted ionized absorption lines in X-ray spectra (1000 km/s)
- Why are they important?
  - Very significant dynamical component: can suppress relativistic jets (Neilsen\_ & Lee 2009)
  - Carry most of the infalling matter away from the black hole! (e.g. Neilsen, Remillard, & Lee 2011; Ponti+ 2012; King+ 2012)

As of 11 July 2009



ASCA absorbers: Ebisawa 1997, Ueda 1998

Photoionized accretion disk corona: hot gas above the disk?



Brandt & Schulz (2000): Chandra HETGS, Circinus X-1

First X-ray P-Cygni lines from an XRB: outflowing gas



Lee et al. (2002): Chandra HETGS, GRS 1915+105

♦ Ionized outflow, Mout ≈ Min.?



Neilsen & Lee (2009): Chandra HETGS, GRS 1915+105

Winds may quench jets in GRS 1915 by altering flow of gas



Miller et al. (2006, 2008): Chandra HETGS, GRO J1655-40

Only definitive observational evidence for MHD winds in XRBs



# The Physics of Disk Winds

As seen by an X-ray observer





Ionization Parameter

How Winds Work

Three possible origins:
Wind properties:

- Radiation pressure (UV line driving)
- Thermal pressure (i.e. irradiation, Compton\_ heating)
- MHD processes
- Where is the wind, how ionized is it, and how dense is it?

Low-ish ionization <</p>
10<sup>3</sup>

Low-ish density

 (<10<sup>13-14</sup>), far from BH
 (>104<sup>-5</sup> R<sub>g</sub>, 10<sup>11</sup> cm)

Can be more dense, closer to BH How Winds Work

 $\xi = \frac{L_{\rm X}}{n_{\rm e}R^2}$ 

 $N_{\rm H} = n \Delta R$ 

 $\frac{W_{\lambda}}{\lambda} = \frac{\pi e^2}{m_e c^2} \frac{N_i \lambda f_{ji}}{N_i \lambda f_{ji}}$ 

Luminosity: more photons per electron means hotter, more ionized wind

#### **Broadband spectrum**:

a harder spectrum means hotter, more ionized wind; sets which ions visible at a fixed  $\xi$  Location: larger distance between Xray source and absorber means fewer photons per electron

Density: decreases ionization at fixed luminosity, distance, also sets visible ions Extent/Column Density: at fixed ionization, more gas in the line of sight means stronger lines

Curve of Growth: equivalent widths increase with <u>ionic</u> <u>columns</u>; ionization, abundance





#### Where Did the Lines Go?

 Why did the first Chandra observation show only one line, when >100 lines were visible 20 days later?
 Hard state vs soft state: ionization important? Wind present but "fried" by a harder ionizing spectrum?

Wind really evolving throughout the outburst?

Details in Neilsen & Homan 2012, ApJ, 750, 27

Can changes in the ionizing spectrum alone explain the differences in the lines?

If the wind were the same in both observations, would the lines be the same?





- A quantitative version of test 1 with XSTAR:
- If the absorber is physically the same but ionized by a different (hard state) continuum, should we see different lines?
- Use previous results for wind properties (Kallman+ 09)

### Testing Ionization: Round 2



\* Built photoionization models based on obs. 2 (Miller+ 06,08; Kallman+ 09)

\* Would we have seen all the lines if the same wind were there during obs 1?

# **Ionization Explains it All?**

Definitely not! If the wind were the same, the lines would still be there

No matter what, the wind must have evolved significantly during the outburst! (See also Blum et al. 2010, Ponti et al. 2012)

From hard to soft state, density increased by 25x-300x!

#### Winds are Ubiquitous



 Winds dominate the "state transition" phase of the outburst, where the accretion flow changes and steady jets disappear
 Analysis suggests that in general, winds evolve during outburst!

# Coincidence? I Think Not!

- Target of Opportunity observations of 4U 1630-47
- Based on Ponti 2012, designed to catch a disk wind
- Very successful!!!
- Winds reliably appear during this state transition.





# **Continued Monitoring**

- Lots of multiwavelength data
- Chandra, Suzaku, XMM, ATCA
- Neilsen, Ponti, Coriat,
   Fender, Miller-Jones,
   Diaz Trigo



#### Implications

Winds are preferentially launched at a certain phase of BH outbursts... so what?



Time

Credit: NASA/CXC/A. Hobart.





# The Amazing Massive Wind

- Each heartbeat blasts more gas off the disk
- R-10<sup>11</sup> cm, but variable on time scales of 5 seconds
- Arguments from geometry,
   variability, line properties imply
   M
   <sup>^</sup>Out ≈ 25 M
   <sup>^</sup>BH (Neilsen,
   Remillard, & Lee 2011)
- Has a huge effect on the disk



Other XRBS too! Ponti et al (2012)

### Massive Winds!





No coincidence that winds appear when they do
 Luminosity rises, illuminates disk, drives gas away
 Changes BH mass, energy budget.
 State transition, jet turns off

# Summary

- In GRO J1655-40, accretion disk winds evolve significantly during outburst (Neilsen & Homan 2012)
- This evolution is <u>universal!</u> (Archival studies: Ponti+ 2012; 4U 1630-47: Neilsen+ 2012e, in prep)
- Significant because:
  - Winds may dominate the mass budget (e.g. Neilsen+ 2011)
  - Winds are not a part of the conventional understanding of BH outbursts