Winds of Massive Stars: Line Profiles and Variability

David Huenemoerder (MIT), Wayne Waldron (Eureka Scientific), Joy Nichols (SAO), Yaël Nazé (U. Liege)

Generally accepted theory: Winds are driven by UV radiation pressure on millions of lines. Instabilities create shocks in the wind, generating soft X-rays from a small volume of hot plasma. (Lucy & White 1980)



O4 Supergiant

ζPuppis Runaway, *single* star Type: O4 If $T_{\rm eff}$ =42,400 K $R \sim 16 \text{ R}_{\odot}$ $M \sim 20-60 \text{ M}_{\odot}$ $L_{\rm bol} \sim 6 \times 10^5 \, {\rm L}_{\odot}$ $v\sin i = 230 \text{ km/s}$ $P_{\rm phot} = 1.78 {\rm d}$ v_{∞} = 2200 km/s *d* = 330 pc $\dot{M} \sim 3 \times 10^{-6} \mathrm{M}_{\odot}/\mathrm{yr}$ $f_{\rm X} \sim 1.5 \times 10^{-11} \, {\rm ergs/cm^2/s}$ $L_{\rm X} \sim 2 \times 10^{32} \, {\rm ergs/s}$

 $L_{\rm x}/L_{\rm bol} \sim 10^{-7}$

Context

Massive stars are rare but influential over their ~4 Myr lifetime! Key components of *cosmic feedback*: comparable radiation and momentum output to final supernova.



Starburst Region NGC 3603 (VLT ANTU + ISAAC) ESO PR Photo 38a/99 (13 October 1999) © European Southern Observatory

WR 6 (EZ CMa) WN 4



Problem: estimated vs. theoretical mass-loss rates (\dot{M}) can differ by over an order of magnitude (depending on model details).

High resolution X-ray spectra provide an *independent* determination of wind properties (relative to UV/Optical diagnostics).

> **Orion Nebula** NASA, ESA, Hubble, HLA Reprocessing & Copyright: Jesús M. Vargas & Maritxu Poyal

Wind Line Profile Basics (for a smooth spherical wind)







(for a *smooth* wind)





UV/Optical spectral line fitting:

Model atmospheres, radiative transfer, in moving frame. (*CMFGEN*: Hillier et al; *PoWR*: Hamann et al.)



Fig. 8 SEI fits to the P Cygni profiles of O VI, P V, N V and C IV of ζ Pup (O4I(f)) as observed by COPER-NICUS (Morton and Underhill 1977). An almost unique solution with $v_{\infty} = 2,350 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ and $\beta = 0.8$ is

(Puls et al 2008)

But Winds are *not* uniform.



DAC: *Discrete Absorption Component*

CIR: *Co-rotating Interaction Region*



Figure 2: BRITE light curve (blue, red) with two examples of the X-ray variability from Nazé et al. (2013) with black symbols.

ζ Puppis, Chandra/HETG, 68 ks, 2000-03-28



ζ Puppis, *Chandra*/HETG, dynamic profiles.



Figure 4: Dynamic spectra, observed, Cash stastic for different line groupings and time-binning (25, and 10 time bins)





Observed

Simulated (and constant)

Modeling in progress ...



Future Work

Lynx (or Arcus) could easily obtain time-dependent profiles. (Resolving power >1500, bandpass 0.3 - 6 keV to cover Hand He-like ions from C to Fe).

> But in the mean time... Chandra/Cycle 19 review approved an 840 ks HETG observation of ζ Pup.



Figure 3: Comparison of the MEG Ne X region clump model (red) to a model simulation (black) of this region showing predicted cnts ks⁻¹ $\Delta \lambda^{-1}$ ($\delta \lambda = 0.005$ Å). This is based on current exposure time illustrating the large errors per bin. The residuals = (model - simulated model) divided by the simulated error for each bin.

Figure 4: Same as Figure 3 except we use our requested exposure time. Clearly we will have the ability to differentiate models as evident in the predicted residuals. The inset shows the normalized theoretical line profile models used for these simulations as a function of the normalized velocity scale, i.e., 0 represents the line rest wavelength

Figure 1. Model X-ray emission line profiles. The same stellar parameters were assumed for all three profiles, except of different clumping properties as indicated.

long X-ray ($\sim P$) observations,

Lida Oskinova U. Potsdam Noel Richardson U. Toledo Tahina Ramiaramanantsoa U. Montreal **Tomer Shenar** U. Potsdam

EXTRA Stuff











 ζ Pup does have high temperature plasma (~20 MK, ~1.7 keV).

Need high-res and high sensitivity to model better (and look for variability).

250

XMM/RGS Dynamic Line Spectra of ζ Puppis



