

---

# An Overview of *Chandra's* Optics

Diab Jerius  
SAO



*Chandra* Calibration Workshop  
6 November 2002

- What is the HRMA?
  - Things of import to the Observer
  - Which bits are calibrated?
  - What happens to those bits?
-

## Chandra's Optics


---

The *Chandra* X-Ray Observatory (CXO) mirrors (the High Resolution Mirror Assembly, or HRMA) were designed to produce images with better than one arc-second resolution, and to concentrate better than 85% of the energy at 0.277 keV within a 1" diameter. The optics were manufactured by Hughes-Danbury Optical Systems, and assembled with the support structures into the HRMA by Eastman Kodak.

- Wolter type I geometry (paired parabolooids and hyperboloids)
- 4 nested pairs of mirrors (shells), 838 mm long
- Radii range from  $\sim 320$  mm to  $\sim 600$  mm
- Ir coating on Cr coated Zerodur.
- PSF FWHM  $\sim 0.5''$

# Schematic Cross-section of the HRMA


**A Photon's View of the Optics**  
(A Cross-Section of the Mirror Assembly)



## Characteristics of Import to the Observer


- focal length  $\sim 10$  m ; plate scale  $\sim 50 \mu\text{m}/''$
- f/#'s vary from  $\sim 8.2$  (Shell 1) to  $\sim 16.4$  (Shell 6)
- Focal planes coincident on-axis; diverge off-axis
- Shells have different energy dependent throughputs (reflectivity is a strong function of the incident angle).
- Assembly errors produced misalignments which affect off-axis and shell 6 performance.
- Surface roughness scatters photons producing low level power law wings which are strongly energy dependent.

## Spectral Response of the Mirror Shells




## Spectral Response of the Mirror Shells


The contribution of each shell to the overall effective area of the telescope is energy dependent:



## Divergence of the Shells' Focal Planes



# Energy dependence of HRMA Focal Plane






## Effect of non-ideal detector/focal plane match

Simulation of monochromatic point sources 23.6' off-axis

HRC-I 0.25 keV – 3.0 keV, (log stretch)

Ideal focal plane




HRC-I focal plane

## Effect of non-ideal detector/focal plane match


Simulation of monochromatic point sources 23.6' off-axis  
HRC-I 4.0 keV – 8.0 keV, (log stretch)

Ideal focal plane



HRC-I focal plane


## Alignment effects on on-axis PSF morphology



Simulated HRC-I observation, including aspect (linear stretch)


## Alignment effects on off-axis PSF structure

Simulation, 15.8' off axis




## Alignment effects on off-axis PSF morphology

Simulation, 5' off-axis, various azimuths



## Alignment effects on off-axis PSF morphology


Simulation, 10' off-axis, various azimuths



## Things to Calibrate and How to do so

The HRMA performance can be divided into the following areas:

- Spectral response. Must use ACIS.
  - On-axis  $A_{eff}$ 
    - \* Primary calibration done during ground calibration
    - \* On-orbit calibration tied closely to detector QE calibration.
    - \* Region most sensitive to calibration errors near 2 keV Ir edge; use power-law (e.g. AGN sources) with gratings.



## Things to Calibrate and How to do so

- Spectral response (con't)
  - Off-axis vignetting
    - \* Compare on- & off- axis measurements of diffuse source (to avoid ACIS pileup effect). Difficult to determine at higher energies.
- PSF
  - 1D and 2D structure.
    - \* On-axis must use HRC due to ACIS pileup and pixel size; no spectral information.
  - Energy distribution
  - Scattering wings
    - \* Long ACIS observations of bright sources - Her X-1



## Role of Simulations

What are we really calibrating? The *Chandra* Optics calibration program is designed to verify the performance of a *model* of the HRMA.

- It is impossible to completely characterize the on-orbit performance of the actual HRMA experimentally.
- The finite resources available for laboratory (i.e. pre-flight) calibration precluded a thorough determination of the optics' performance.

The design of the calibration effort was predicated upon knowledge of the optics' performance in key areas, derived from pre-flight calibration activities.

The result is that the mirror model serves as the ultimate predictor of the mirror's behavior; the pre-flight and on-orbit calibration measurements are designed to test and constrain that model. All HRMA data in the *Chandra* CALDB is derived from that semi-analytical model (SAOsac).