STRUCTURE OF THE X-RAY EMISSION FROM THE JET OF 3C 273
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ABSTRACT

We present images from five observations of the quasar 3C 273 with the Chandra X-Ray Observatory. The jet has at least four distinct features that are not resolved in previous observations. The first knot in the jet (A1) is very bright in X-rays. Its X-ray spectrum is well fitted with a power law with \( \alpha = 0.60 \pm 0.05 \) (where \( S \propto \nu^{-\alpha} \)). Combining this measurement with lower frequency data shows that a pure synchrotron model can fit the spectrum of this knot from 1.647 GHz to 5 keV (over nine decades in energy) with \( \alpha = 0.76 \pm 0.02 \), similar to the X-ray spectral slope. Thus, we place a lower limit on the total power radiated by this knot of \( 1.5 \times 10^{43} \) ergs s\(^{-1}\); substantially more power may be emitted in the hard X-ray and \( \gamma \)-ray bands. Knot A2 is also detected and is somewhat blended with knot B1. Synchrotron emission may also explain the X-ray emission, but a spectral bend is required near the optical band. For knots A1 and B1, the X-ray flux dominates the emitted energy. For the remaining optical knots (C through H), localized X-ray enhancements that might correspond to the optical features are not clearly resolved. The position angle of the jet ridge line follows the optical shape with distinct, aperiodic excursions of \( \pm 1^\circ \) from a median value of \(-138^\circ\). Finally, we find X-ray emission from the “inner jet” between 5″ and 10″ from the core.

Subject headings: galaxies: jets — quasars: individual (3C 273) — X-rays: galaxies

1. INTRODUCTION

Previous high-resolution observations of the 3C 273 jet using MERLIN at 1.647 GHz and the Hubble Space Telescope (HST) Wide Field Planetary Camera 2 (Bahcall et al. 1995) showed that the overall shape of the jet is somewhat different between the optical and radio bands. The optical image appears dominated by elongated knots roughly 0'.1 by 0'.5 in size, while the radio image gives an indication of a “cocoon” structure, especially at the end, or “head.” Bahcall et al. speculated that the cocoon is slowly moving material enveloping a relativistically moving flow. Although relativistic motion is required to explain superluminal motion in the quasar core, it is not yet clear that the flow is relativistic in the jet on a kiloparsec scale.

Harris & Stern (1987) used the Einstein Observatory data on 3C 273 to detect X-ray emission from the jet, which was less than 1% of the flux of the core. More recently, Röser et al. (2000) examined ROSAT HRI images at \( \sim 5^\prime \) resolution to show that the X-ray emission drops with distance along the jet. Using models of the profile along the jet and multicolor ground-based images at 1.3 resolution, they generated spectral energy distributions (SEDs) for knots in the jet and found that the X-ray flux of knot A1\(^4\) is consistent with that expected by extrapolating a simple synchrotron model from the radio through the optical with one population of electrons. The high-energy electrons in their model had \( \gamma > 10^7 \). Synchrotron self-Compton (SSC) calculations generally fail to predict X-ray intensities commensurate with those observed for any of the knots.

X-ray images from the Chandra X-Ray Observatory are now resolving the spatial structure along quasar jets. The first such image, of the quasar PKS 0637−752, proved remarkable be-
Fig. 1.—Images of the jet in 3C 273 in three different bands. Left: Image at 1.647 GHz using the MERLIN array, kindly provided by Tom Muxlow of Jodrell Bank. Middle: Hubble Space Telescope Planetary Camera image in the F622W filter (centered at 6170 Å). Features are labeled according to the nomenclature used by Bahcall et al. (1995). Right: Raw Chandra image of the X-ray emission from the jet of 3C 273 in 0'1 bins overlaid with a version of the HST image smoothed with a Gaussian profile in order to match the X-ray imaging resolution. The X-ray and optical images have been registered to each other to about 0'05 using the position of knot A1. The overall shape of the jet is remarkably similar in length and curvature, but the X-ray emission fades to the end of the jet, so individual C knots are not discernible. Other differences are more apparent in Fig. 2. The radio emission is much fainter at knot A1 and is displayed with a logarithmic scaling.

However, did the streak affect the image of the jet? The LETG has two support structures that produce diffraction patterns (see the POG). This pattern is spaced at 60° intervals and, again, did not interfere with the image of the jet. Individual observations have slightly uncertain absolute pointing, so we repositioned each image separately. The HRC and LETG/ACIS observations were combined by referencing to the core. Because of pileup, the two nongrating ACIS observations and the High Energy Transmission Grating observations had zeroth-order images that were severely affected by pileup, so the first knot was used as a reference. We estimate that the uncertainty in this procedure gives a relative offset between the core and the jet of less than 0'05 by examining the location of the center of the wings of the core and comparing with the position of the first knot in each data set.

Figure 1 shows the combined X-ray image binned in 0'2 pixels. The jet shows clear curvature but mostly has a one-dimensional appearance, so a profile was computed for quantitative analysis. The X-ray profile (Fig. 2) was derived by summing data in a 1'5 wide window centered on a position angle of −138°, which is the center line of the jet. We estimate that the correction for aperture losses is 10% ± 5% at any location along the jet, based on using a wider extraction region. The peak of emission occurs just under 13° from the core. Fitting a Gaussian profile to it, the centroid is 12°93 ± 0'01 from the core, and the dispersion of a Gaussian is 0'33 ± 0'01 (for a FWHM of 0'78). The 2σ limit to the FWHM of this knot is 0'7, given that point sources have projected FWHMs of 0'75, which is determined from readout streak data for the core of 3C 273 and other bright point sources. The next peak in the X-ray emission is clearly extended, from 14° to 15° from the core, dropping more steeply on the downstream side. From 16° to 21°, the X-ray emission appears somewhat devoid of distinct features but with some possible surface brightness variations; there may be an unresolved knot at 20°.

### Table 1: Chandra Observations of 3C 273

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Observation ID</th>
<th>Detector</th>
<th>Grating</th>
<th>Date (2000)</th>
<th>Exposure (s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>459</td>
<td>ACIS-S</td>
<td>HETG</td>
<td>Jan 10</td>
<td>38,600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>460</td>
<td>HRC-I</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Jan 22</td>
<td>20,260</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>461</td>
<td>HRC-S</td>
<td>LETG</td>
<td>Jan 9</td>
<td>40,280</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1198</td>
<td>ACIS-S</td>
<td>LETG</td>
<td>Jan 9–10</td>
<td>38,160</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1711</td>
<td>ACIS-S</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Jun 14</td>
<td>28,130</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1712</td>
<td>ACIS-I</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Jun 14</td>
<td>27,800</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The X-ray flux reaches the background level at 21″ from the nucleus.

Several regions were selected for X-ray spectral fitting: (a) a 1″ radius circle centered on the first bright knot, (b) a similar circle centered on the extended knot at 15″, and (c) a rectangular box extending from 16″ to the end of the jet. The 0.5–8 keV ACIS-S spectra were combined and fitted with power-law models holding $N_H$ fixed at $1.71 \times 10^{20}$ cm$^{-2}$ (Albert et al. 1993; see Fig. 4 of this Letter). The resultant spectral indices were $\alpha_X = 0.60 \pm 0.05$, $\alpha_Y = 0.88 \pm 0.07$, and $\alpha_Z = 0.75 \pm 0.05$ (where $S \propto \nu^{-\alpha}$); no spectral evolution is detected along the jet. Flux densities of several knot regions are given in Table 2. We estimate that the total jet power is about 0.4% of the core power in the 0.5–5.0 keV band.

X-ray emission is just detectable between the core and the knot A1, as shown in Figure 3. The 5″–10″ annulus shows a peak at the position angle of the 10″–20″ jet in addition to peaks at the position angles of the readout streak. It is difficult to quantify precisely the flux of the inner jet because of the ripple inherent in azimuthal profiles this close to a bright source that is caused by mirror support structure. Accounting for the ripple by fitting a sinusoid to the local background, we estimate that the count rate from the inner jet is about $0.012 \pm 0.001$ counts s$^{-1}$, corresponding to a total flux density of $6.9 \pm 0.6$ nJy at 1 keV for a power-law spectrum with $\alpha = 0.6$.

### 2.2. Comparison with the Optical Emission

X-ray components were identified using images obtained from the $HST$ archives. Fluxes were determined in filters F450W, F622W, F814W, and F160W (near-infrared camera and multiobject spectrometer). The planetary camera (PC) obser-

![Fig. 2.—Profiles of the 3C 273 jet in the X-ray (histogram, in counts per 0'1 bin) and optical bands. The optical data are from a Planetary Camera image in filter F622W, taken from the $HST$ archive, and are scaled to match the X-ray histogram for knot A1; 0.325 μJy per 0'04554 bin at a vertical value of 500. The raw optical profile was smoothed with a Gaussian with σ = 0'25 (FWHM = 0'60) and was scaled to 0.27 μJy per 0'04554 bin at a vertical value of 500. The optical profiles were displaced 0'22 closer to the core to provide a better match between the X-ray and optical profiles of knot A1; systematic registration uncertainties are of this order. Beyond knots A1 and B1 (12′9 and 15′0 from the core, respectively), other knots are not clearly detected individually in the X-ray profile.

![Fig. 3.—Azimuthal histograms from two different annuli centered on the quasar core. The top panel, for the annulus from 12′ to 15′ from the core, shows the jet at a position angle of $-138°$ (dashed lines) and the readout streaks at about 110° and $-70°$ (dotted lines). The bottom panel shows the histogram for the 5′–10′ annulus. The two brightest peaks are at the position angles of the readout streak, while the third brightest is at the same position angle as the large-scale jet. The ripple is due to the mirror support structure, which causes shadows every 30°. The peak at $-138°$ is a detection of X-rays from the “inner jet,” which is not very bright optically but is detected in the radio band. The position angle of the inner jet is rotated slightly to the north compared with the 5′–10′ portion of the jet. A similar rotation is observed in the MERLIN map (see Fig. 1).

### TABLE 2

**Fluxes of Knots in the 3C 273 Jet**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Frequency (Hz)</th>
<th>Flux Density (μJy)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>A1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.65 x 10$^{14}$</td>
<td>4.2 ± 1.2 x 10$^3$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.87 x 10$^{14}$</td>
<td>10.7 ± 2.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.76 x 10$^{14}$</td>
<td>6.7 ± 2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.85 x 10$^{14}$</td>
<td>5.15 ± 0.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.59 x 10$^{14}$</td>
<td>4.32 ± 0.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.42 x 10$^{15}$</td>
<td>0.038 ± 0.004</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Based on observations made with the NASA/ESA Hubble Space Telescope, obtained from the data archive at the Space Telescope Science Institute. STScI is operated by the Association of Universities for Research in Astronomy, Inc., under NASA contract NAS5-26555.*
The optical emission of A1 is clearly extended along a position angle of the quasar core, so this difference between the X-ray and optical bands: there is distinct curvature and the lengths are about the same. The optical emission between the knots is much more prominent in the X-ray data than in the optical image. There appears to be a discrete source of X-ray emission near the positions of knots D and H3. More data are needed to tell whether knots besides these—i.e., C1, C2, and C3—are also discrete sources of X-ray emission.

3. DISCUSSION

3.1. Morphology

The overall shape of the jet is quite similar in the optical and X-ray bands: there is distinct curvature and the lengths are about the same. The optical emission between the knots is ±0.75 wide (Bahcall et al. 1995), and the X-ray emission is marginally consistent with this level of broadening. There are several important differences, however. Knot A1 is much more prominent in the X-ray data than in the optical image. Furthermore, the X-ray jet fades along the jet, while the optical knots have similar brightnesses. Röser et al. (2000) also noted this difference.

The X-ray emission from knot A1 is consistent with a point source, but we cannot yet exclude the possibility that it is as extended as the optical emission along the jet axis. The MERLIN map shows that this knot is similarly extended in the X-ray jet profile, so the centroid of the quasar was determined by isolating the image diffraction spikes (Bahcall et al. 1995), fitting these with lines, and determining the intersection of the two lines (only two spikes were available from this image). Spatial distortions were corrected using polynomial coefficients given by Holtzman et al. (1995). The optical profile was obtained using the same method as used for the X-ray profile and is also shown in Figure 2. An offset of 0.22 was found between the centroids of the first X-ray peak and the peak of knot A1 from the PC image. The positional uncertainty is dominated by systematic uncertainties in measurement of the quasar core, so this difference between the X-ray and optical positions of this bright knot are not likely to be significant. The optical emission of A1 is clearly extended along a position angle (P.A.) closely aligned to the overall P.A. of the jet (see Fig. 1); the profile is well fitted by a Gaussian with σ = 0.55, which is consistent with the X-ray profile at the 2 σ level.

Identifying the sources of the remaining X-ray emission is not quite so straightforward as for knot A1. Region b (the extended knot at 15" from the core) is not consistent with a single point source at knot B1 but is likely to be a blend of point sources at knots B1 and A2, a somewhat weaker knot in the HST image. There appears to be a discrete source of X-ray emission near the positions of knots D and H3. More data are needed to tell whether knots besides these—i.e., C1, C2, and C3—are also discrete sources of X-ray emission.

3.2. Spectra

The overall SED for knot A1 (Fig. 5) appears to fit a simple synchrotron model, as suggested by Röser et al. (2000). Our estimate for the X-ray flux density is ~2 times higher, suggesting that the uncertainties derived from the ROSAT data were underestimated. Amazingly, the flux of this knot fits the overall slope of the SED (based on Table 2), 0.76 ± 0.02, to within the uncertainties, even after extrapolating over several orders of magnitude in frequency. Furthermore, the spectral slope in the X-ray band is similar to that of the SED. Thus, the spectrum does not appear to break within the Chandra bandpass, which is consistent with the excellent spectral fit to the Chandra data. The luminosity of knot A1, 1.5 × 10^41 ergs s⁻¹ (for q_d = 0.5 and H_0 = 70 km s⁻¹ Mpc⁻¹), is about 40% of the total X-ray emission from jet, so, if the spectrum of knot A1 extends out to 100–200 keV with α = 0.6, then its X-ray flux will dominate the total power of the jet. If the synchrotron break is above 5 keV, then γ > 4 × 10^2 for the electrons, if one synchrotron model is fit to all the data. The magnetic field is 80 μG, based on minimum-energy arguments for nonrelativistic bulk motion. For a cylindrical emitting volume of the size defined by the optical emission, the SSC emission from knot A1 would be less than 0.1 nJy, well below the observed value.

Connecting the radio and optical/X-ray bands of the B1 SED requires a slight bend, consistent with the apparent flattening in the optical band. While the form of the electron energy distribution may not be a pure power law, no spectral cutoff is observed in the SED, so the electron energies may well reach energies comparable to those in knot A1. Röser et al. determined that SSC models of knot B1 would not give rise to such large X-ray fluxes, and we confirm this conclusion. As in knot...
A1, $\nu S_\nu$ is much higher in the X-ray band than in the optical and radio bands. Because $\alpha < 1$ in the X-ray band, we do not yet know where the total powers of these knots peak.

Without detecting spectral cutoffs as observed in the first knot of the jet in PKS 0637–752 (Schwartz et al. 2000), we cannot tell whether there is a problem with the synchrotron model for knots A1 and B1 as found in that source. Similarly, the X-ray emission mechanism in the inner jet region is difficult to model without spectra from the radio and optical bands. Although there is no specific evidence that relativistic motion is required to explain the X-ray fluxes of the inner jet or knots A1 and B1, as in the model suggested by Tavecchio et al. (2000) and Celotti et al. (2001), this beaming model is a promising explanation for the X-ray fluxes of the weaker knots, so it could also provide an alternative to the synchrotron models for knots A1 and B1. Thus, based on morphological similarities between the X-ray and optical images and considering that much of the jet power may be dissipated in the knots, we speculate that the knots are locations of internal shocks in a relativistic jet flow that is decelerating before equilibrating with the ambient medium. Alternatively, a helical jet structure would have regularly spaced inflection points where the local jet flow is close to the line of sight; beaming would be enhanced, giving rise to the observed knots.
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